

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,442	08/16/2001	Shigeharu Ushiwata	Q65849 2523		
. 7	7590 10/01/2003				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.		Applicant(s)	\mathcal{O}_{\cdot}				
Office Action Summary		09/930,442		USHIWATA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
. <u></u>		Omar Flores-Sá		3724					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the tore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire , cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under				erits is				
Disposit	ion of Claims	ex parto quayro,	1000 0.5. 11,	700 0.0. 210.					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	ı .							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirem	ent.						
··	ion Papers								
· · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept a second and accept a second a		-						
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on	•							
' '/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			ved by the Examiner.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		uon.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. & 119(a	n)-(d) or (f)					
•		r priority dilater of	3 0.0.0.	i) (d) 01 (1).					
-,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	eived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents ha reau (PCT Rule 1	ave been receive	ed in this National Stag	e				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		lication).				
_ a	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional applicati	on has been red	eived.					
Attachmer	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	oo U.S.C. 99 120	and/or 121.					
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152					

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: Embodiment in Fig. 8-18; Species II: Embodiment in Fig. 19-20; Species III: Embodiment in Fig. 21; Species IV: Embodiment in Fig. 22; Species V: Embodiment in Fig. 23; Species VI: Embodiment in Fig. 24; Species VII: Embodiment in Fig. 25-27; Species VIII: Embodiment in Fig. 30-32; Species IX: Embodiment in Fig. 33-35; Species X: Embodiment in Fig. 36-38; Species XI: Embodiment in Fig. 40-41; Species XII: Embodiment in Fig. 42; and Species XIII: Embodiment in Fig. 43-46.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

"Application/Control Number: 09/930,442

Art Unit: 3724

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Thomas Macpeak on 9/26/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs September 26, 2003

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER